

Manin's conjecture for a certain singular cubic surface

Ulrich Derenthal*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

This paper contains a proof of Manin's conjecture for the singular cubic surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ with a singularity of type $\mathbf{E}_6$, defined by the equation $x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_0^2 + x_3^3 = 0$. If U is the open subset of S obtained by deleting the unique line from S , then the number of rational points in U with anticanonical height bounded by B behaves asymptotically as $cB(\log B)^6$, where the constant c agrees with the one conjectured by Peyre.

1 Introduction

Let S be a Del Pezzo surface, possibly singular. If its set of rational points $S(\mathbb{Q})$ is non-empty, then it is dense in the Zariski topology, and it is natural to ask for the asymptotic behaviour of the number of rational points of bounded height.

If S contains lines defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ then the number of rational points of bounded height on these dominates the number of rational points in their complement $U \subset S$. Therefore, one is mainly interested in rational points in U .

In this situation, Manin has formulated a far-reaching conjecture for the behaviour of the counting function

$$N_{U,H}(B) := \#\{x \in U(\mathbb{Q}) \mid H(x) \leq B\},$$

where H is an exponential height [FMT89], [BM90]. In the special case, when H is associated to the anticanonical embedding of S , the conjecture states that

$$N_{U,H}(B) \sim cB(\log B)^r,$$

where r depends only on the geometry of S . A conjectural interpretation of c was later given by Peyre [Pey95].

In recent years, Manin's conjecture has been proved for several classes of algebraic varieties. Batyrev and Tschinkel proved it for toric varieties [BT98], in particular for all Del Pezzo surfaces of degree ≥ 6 . For smooth Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5, it was proved by de la Bretèche [dlB02] in the split case (i.e., with geometric Picard group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$) and later together with Fouvry in several non-split cases [dlBF04]. In degree 4, it has been established recently

*Mathematisches Institut der Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstr. 3–5, D-37073 Göttingen, Germany. email: derenthal@math.uni-goettingen.de

in the case of a split surface with a $\mathbf{D}_5$ -singularity [dlBB04] and a non-split surface with a $\mathbf{D}_4$ -singularity [dlBB05]. In degree 3, apart from the singular toric surfaces, Manin's conjecture and its refinement by Peyre have not yet been established. The best progress so far are upper and lower bounds of the right order of magnitude proved by Heath-Brown in case of the Cayley cubic [HB03], and by Browning for a surface with a singularity of type $\mathbf{D}_4$ [Bro04].

Manin's conjecture seems to be more difficult for surfaces of lower degree or with fewer singularities. The best general upper bound for $N_{U,H}(B)$ for a smooth cubic surface is $B^{4/3+\epsilon}$, given by Heath-Brown [HB97], [HB98].

We prove Manin's conjecture in the case of a cubic surface with a singularity of type $\mathbf{E}_6$:

$$S = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid f(\mathbf{x}) = x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_0^2 + x_3^3 = 0\} \quad (1.1)$$

Here, $\mathbf{E}_6$ refers to the Dynkin diagram describing the intersections of exceptional divisors in the minimal desingularization $\tilde{S}$ of S .

Theorem 1. *Let S be the cubic surface with an $\mathbf{E}_6$ -singularity as above and let H be the associated height. Let $U := S \setminus \ell$, where $\ell = \{x_2 = x_3 = 0\}$ is the unique line on S .*

Then

$$N_{U,H}(B) = c_{S,H} B Q(\log B) + O(B(\log B)^2),$$

where Q is a monic polynomial of degree 6, and the leading constant $c_{S,H}$ is the one conjectured by Peyre [Pey95].

The invariants appearing in Manin's conjecture and Peyre's constant $c_{S,H}$ are calculated in Section 2.

The proof follows the strategy of de la Bretèche and Browning [dlBB04] and uses the universal torsor. The passage to the universal torsor was introduced by Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc in their investigations of the Hasse principle on Del Pezzo surfaces [CTS76], [CTS87]. Salberger proposed using torsors in the study of rational points of bounded height [Sal98], see also [Pey98], [Pey04]. For our particular surface, the universal torsor has been calculated by Hassett and Tschinkel using the Cox ring; the torsor is a hypersurface in the 10-dimensional affine space [HT04]. In addition to the equation defining the torsor we need to derive certain coprimality conditions between the coordinates. In Section 3 we compute the torsor and determine these conditions following the more direct approach of Heath-Brown, Browning and de la Bretèche [HB03], [Bro04], [dlBB04].

The next step is to count the number of integral points on the universal torsor subject to certain bounds, given by lifting the height function to the torsor, and satisfying the coprimality conditions. For three of the ten variables on the torsor, this summation is done in Section 5 by elementary methods from analytic number theory. The summation over the last seven variables, completing the proof of Theorem 1, is carried out in Section 6.

During the final preparation of this paper, I was informed by M. Joyce (Brown University) that similar results towards Manin's conjecture for certain cubic surfaces will appear in his thesis.

Acknowledgments. Most of this paper was written while I was visiting B. Hassett at Rice University in February 2005. I am very grateful to him for the invitation and stimulating discussions. I thank T. D. Browning for helpfully explaining the details of his paper [dlBB04]. I thank S. Elsenhans and E. Kappos for many useful suggestions. This research has been partially supported by the Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes.

2 Manin's Conjecture

In this section, we calculate the invariants appearing in Manin's conjecture and its refinement by Peyre. We use the notation from [Pey95].

Lemma 2. *Manin's conjecture predicts in case of S as defined in (1.1):*

$$N_{U,H}(B) \sim c_{S,H} B(\log B)^6,$$

where $c_{S,H} = \alpha(\tilde{S})\beta(\tilde{S})\omega_H(\tilde{S})$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\tilde{S}) &:= \frac{1}{6! \prod_i \lambda_i} = \frac{1}{6! \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6} = \frac{1}{6220800}, \\ \beta(\tilde{S}) &:= 1, \\ \omega_H(\tilde{S}) &:= \omega_\infty \cdot \omega_0,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &= (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_\ell, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6) := (2, 3, 4, 3, 4, 5, 6), \\ \omega_\infty &:= 6 \int \int \int_{\{(u,v,w) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |tv^3| \leq 1, |t^2+u^3| \leq 1, 0 \leq v \leq 1, |uv^4| \leq 1\}} 1 \, dt \, du \, dv, \\ \omega_0 &:= \prod_p \omega_p, \\ \omega_p &:= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^7 \left(1 + \frac{7}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The line ℓ and all exceptional divisors $F_1, \dots, F_6$ of the desingularization $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, $\text{rk Pic}(\tilde{S}) = 7$. By [HT04], the effective cone is generated by the classes of ℓ and the exceptional divisors. The dual cone of nef divisors is also simplicial (i.e., the number of generators is equal to $\text{rk Pic}(\tilde{S})$), and

$$-K_{\tilde{S}} = 2F_1 + 3F_2 + 4F_3 + 3\ell + 4F_4 + 5F_5 + 6F_6.$$

Note that $\lambda = -K_{\tilde{S}} \in \text{Pic}(\tilde{S}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^7$, in the basis $\{F_1, F_2, F_3, \ell, F_4, F_5, F_6\}$.

Using the definition, we calculate

$$\alpha(\tilde{S}) = \text{Vol} \left\{ (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_\ell, t_4, t_5, t_6) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^7 \mid \sum_{i \in \{1,2,3,\ell,4,5,6\}} \lambda_i t_i = 1 \right\} = \frac{1}{6! \cdot \prod_i \lambda_i}.$$

The surface S is split over $\mathbb{Q}$, so that

$$\beta(\tilde{S}) = \#H^1(\mathbb{Q}, \text{Pic}(\tilde{S})) = 1.$$

By definition,

$$\omega_H(\tilde{S}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} ((s-1)^{\text{rk Pic}(\tilde{S})} L(s, \text{Pic}(\tilde{S}))) \omega_\infty \prod_p \frac{\omega_p}{L_p(1, \text{Pic}(\tilde{S}))},$$

where, in our case,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} ((s-1)^{\text{rk Pic}(\tilde{S})} L(s, \text{Pic}(\tilde{S}))) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} ((s-1)^7 \zeta(s)^7) = 1$$

and

$$L_p(1, \text{Pic}(\tilde{S}))^{-1} = (1 - 1/p)^7.$$

We use Peyre's method [Pey95] to compute ω_∞ and parametrize the points by writing x_1 as a function of x_0, x_2, x_3 . Since $\mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{P}^3$, we may assume $x_2 \geq 0$. Since $\frac{d}{dx_1} f = x_2^2$, the Leray form $\omega_L(\tilde{S})$ is given by $x_2^{-2} dx_0 dx_3 dx_2$, and we obtain $\omega_\infty(\tilde{S})$ from

$$\int \int \int_{\{|x_0| \leq 1, |x_2|^{-2} (x_2 x_0^2 + x_3^3) \leq 1, 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1, |x_3| \leq 1\}} x_2^{-2} dx_0 dx_3 dx_2,$$

using the transformations

$$x_0 = tx_2^{1/2}, \quad x_3 = ux_2^{2/3}, \quad x_2 = v^6.$$

The calculation of ω_p is done as in Lemma 1 of [dlBB04] and we omit it here. $\square$

3 The Universal Torsor

The universal torsor $\mathcal{T} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_\ell, \xi_4, \xi_5, \xi_6, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_\ell] / (T(\xi_i, \tau_i)))$ is given by the equation

$$T(\xi_i, \tau_i) = \tau_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5 + \tau_2^2 \xi_2 + \tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_3 = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

and the map $\Psi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow S = \text{Spec}(k[x_0, \dots, x_3] / (f(\mathbf{x})))$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^*(x_0) &= \xi^{(1,2,2,0,1,2,3)} \tau_2 \\ \Psi^*(x_1) &= \tau_\ell \\ \Psi^*(x_2) &= \xi^{(2,3,4,3,4,5,6)} \\ \Psi^*(x_3) &= \xi^{(2,2,3,1,2,3,4)} \tau_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where we use the notation $\xi^{(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_\ell, n_4, n_5, n_6)} := \xi_1^{n_1} \xi_2^{n_2} \xi_3^{n_3} \xi_\ell^{n_\ell} \xi_4^{n_4} \xi_5^{n_5} \xi_6^{n_6}$. Note that $\Psi^*(x_2) = \xi^\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^7$ as in Lemma 2.

We want to establish a bijection between rational points on the surface S and integral points on the torsor $\mathcal{T}$ which are subject to certain coprimality conditions.

More precisely, the coprimality conditions can be summarized in the following table, where a “−” means that the two variables are coprime, and a “×” that they may have common factors. For a variable combined with itself, “−” means

that each prime occurs at most once, and “ $\times$ ” means that it may occur more often.

	ξ_1	ξ_2	ξ_3	ξ_ℓ	ξ_4	ξ_5	ξ_6	τ_1	τ_2	τ_ℓ
ξ_1	$\times$	—	$\times$	—	—	—	$\times$	$\times$	—	—
ξ_2	—	—	—	—	—	—	$\times$	—	$\times$	—
ξ_3	$\times$	—	—	—	—	—	$\times$	—	—	—
ξ_ℓ	—	—	—	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	—	—	$\times$
ξ_4	—	—	—	$\times$	—	—	$\times$	—	—	—
ξ_5	—	—	—	$\times$	—	—	$\times$	—	—	—
ξ_6	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	—	$\times$	—
τ_1	$\times$	—	—	—	—	—	—	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$
τ_2	—	$\times$	—	—	—	—	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$
τ_ℓ	—	—	—	$\times$	—	—	—	$\times$	$\times$	$\times$

Later, we will refer to the

$$\text{coprimality conditions between } \xi_1, \dots, \xi_6 \text{ as given in the table} \quad (3.3)$$

Because of the torsor equation T , we can write the coprimality conditions for τ_i equivalently as

$$\gcd(\tau_1, \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_\ell \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) = 1 \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\gcd(\tau_2, \xi_1 \xi_3) = 1, \quad \gcd(\tau_\ell, \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) = 1. \quad (3.5)$$

The goal of this section is the following result:

Proposition 3. *The map Ψ induces a bijection between*

$$\mathcal{T}_1 := \{(\xi_i, \tau_i) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) \text{ hold}, \xi_i > 0\}$$

and $U(\mathbb{Q}) \subset S(\mathbb{Q})$.

The proof of this is split into two parts. First, we establish a similar bijection with slightly different coprimality conditions:

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathcal{T}_2$ be set of all $(\xi_i, \tau_i) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\xi_i > 0$ and the coprimality conditions described by the table hold, except that the conditions*

$$\gcd(\xi_3, \tau_1) = 1 \text{ and } \gcd(\xi_6, \tau_1) = 1 \quad (3.6)$$

in the table are replaced by

$$|\mu(\xi_1)| = 1 \text{ and } \gcd(\xi_1, \xi_3) = 1. \quad (3.7)$$

Then the map Ψ induces a bijection between $\mathcal{T}_2$ and $U(\mathbb{Q}) \subset S(\mathbb{Q})$.

Proof. Using the method of [dlBB04], we now show that the coprimality conditions lead to a bijection.

We go through a series of coprimality considerations and replace the original variables by products of new ones which fulfill certain conditions. When doing this, the new variables will be uniquely determined.

Since $\mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{x}$, and $x_2 = 0$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{x} \in \ell$, we can write each $\mathbf{x} \in U(\mathbb{Q})$ uniquely such that $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x_2 > 0$, and $\gcd(x_i) = 1$.

- Note that $x_2|x_3^3$. Write $x_2 = y_1y_2^2y_3^3$ with $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, where each triple occurrence of a prime factor of x_3 is put in y_3 and each double occurrence in y_2 , so that y_1, y_2, y_3 are unique if we assume $|\mu(y_1y_2)| = 1$. Then $x_3 = y_1y_2y_3z$ must hold for a suitable $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting into f and dividing by $y_1y_2^2y_3^3$ gives

$$f_1(x_0, x_1, y_1, y_2, y_3, z) = x_1y_1y_2^2y_3^3 + x_0^2 + y_1^2y_2z^3 = 0.$$

- Now $y_1y_2|x_0^2$, and since $|\mu(y_1y_2)| = 1$, we have $y_1y_2|x_0$. Write $x_0 = y_1y_2w$, where $w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting and dividing by y_1y_2 , we obtain

$$f_2(x_1, y_1, y_2, y_3, z, w) = x_1y_2y_3^3 + w^2y_1y_2 + y_1z^3 = 0.$$

- Since $y_2|y_1z^3$ and $|\mu(y_1y_2)| = 1$, we must have $y_2|z$. Write $z = y_2z'$, where $z' \in \mathbb{Z}$, and obtain, after dividing by y_2 , the relation

$$f_3(x_1, y_1, y_2, y_3, w, z') = x_1y_3^3 + w^2y_1 + y_1y_2^2z'^3 = 0.$$

- Since y_1 divides our original variables x_0, x_2, x_3 , it cannot divide x_1 . Together with $|\mu(y_1)| = 1$, the fact $y_1|x_1y_3^3$ implies $y_1|y_3$. Write $y_3 = y_1y'_3$, where $y'_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and obtain

$$f_4(x_1, y_1, y_2, w, z', y'_3) = x_1y_1^2y_3'^3 + w^2 + y_2^2z'^3 = 0$$

- Let $a = \gcd(y'_3, z') \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and write $y'_3 = ay_3''$, where $y_3'' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $z' = az''$, where $z'' \in \mathbb{Z}$. This gives

$$f_5(x_1, y_1, y_2, w, z'', y_3'', a) = x_1y_1^2y_3''^3a^3 + w^2 + y_2^2z''^3a^3 = 0.$$

- Now $a^3|w^2$. Writing $a = \xi_6^2\xi_2$, where $\xi_2, \xi_6 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $|\mu(\xi_2)| = 1$, gives $w = w'\xi_6^3\xi_2^2$, where $w' \in \mathbb{Z}$ leading to the equation

$$f_6(x_1, y_1, y_2, z'', y_3'', w', \xi_2, \xi_6) = x_1y_1^2y_3''^3 + w'^2\xi_2 + y_2^2z''^3 = 0.$$

- Let $\xi_5 = \gcd(y_3'', w') \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and write $y_3'' = \xi_\ell\xi_5$, where $\xi_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $w' = w''\xi_5$, with $w'' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$f_7(x_1, y_1, y_2, z'', w'', \xi_2, \xi_\ell, \xi_5, \xi_6) = x_1y_1^2\xi_\ell^3\xi_5^3 + w''^2\xi_2\xi_5^2 + y_2^2z''^3 = 0.$$

- Since $\gcd(y_3'', z'') = 1$, also $\gcd(\xi_\ell\xi_5, z'') = 1$. Therefore, $\xi_5^2|y_2^2$, which means $\xi_5|y_2$, and we write $y_2 = \xi_1\xi_5$, with $\xi_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We obtain

$$f_8(x_1, y_1, z'', w'', \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_\ell, \xi_5, \xi_6) = x_1y_1^2\xi_\ell^3\xi_5^3 + w''^2\xi_2 + \xi_1^2z''^3 = 0.$$

- Let $\xi_3 = \gcd(w'', y_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Since $|\mu(y_1y_2)| = 1$, we have $\gcd(\xi_1, \xi_3) = 1$. Therefore, $\xi_3|z''^3$ and even $\xi_3|z''$. Write $w'' = \tau_2\xi_3$, where $\tau_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y_1 = \xi_4\xi_3$, where $\xi_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $z'' = \tau_1\xi_3$, where $\tau_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Replacing $x_1 = \tau_\ell$, where $\tau_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$f_9(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_\ell, \xi_4, \xi_5, \xi_6, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_\ell) = \tau_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5^3 + \tau_2^2\xi_2 + \tau_1^3\xi_1^2\xi_3 = 0.$$

This is the torsor equation $T(\xi_i, \tau_i)$ as in (3.1).

The substitutions lead to $x_0, \dots, x_3$ in terms of ξ_i, τ_i as in (3.2). Conversely, it is easy to check that each (ξ_i, τ_i) satisfying T is mapped by Ψ to a point $\mathbf{x} \in S(\mathbb{Q})$. Note that $\xi_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\tau_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, the coprimality conditions we introduced impose the following conditions on ξ_i, τ_i :

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(y_1 y_2)| &= |\mu(\xi_1 \xi_3 \xi_4 \xi_5)| = 1, & |\mu(\xi_2)| &= 1, & \gcd(\tau_2, \xi_4) &= 1, \\ \gcd(y_3'', z'') &= \gcd(\xi_\ell \xi_5, \tau_1 \xi_3) = 1, & \gcd(\xi_\ell, w'') &= \gcd(\xi_\ell, \tau_2 \xi_3) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The condition $\gcd(x_i) = 1$ is equivalent to $\gcd(\tau_\ell, \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) = 1$.

We obtain $\gcd(\xi_2, \xi_3) = 1$ in the following manner: If $p | \xi_2, \xi_3$ for some prime p , then $p | \tau_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5$ by the torsor equation T . On the other hand, a divisor of ξ_3 cannot divide any of the factors by the coprimality conditions we found. Similarly, we conclude $\gcd(\xi_3, \tau_2) = \gcd(\xi_1, \tau_2) = \gcd(\xi_2, \xi_5) = \gcd(\xi_5, \tau_2) = 1$.

Finally, if a prime p divides two of ξ_2, ξ_4, τ_1 , we see using T that p must divide all of them. Since $|\mu(\xi_2)| = 1$ and $p^2 | \tau_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5 + \tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_3$, we conclude $p | \tau_2$ which is impossible since $\gcd(\tau_2, \xi_4) = 1$. Therefore, ξ_2, ξ_4, τ_1 must be pairwise coprime. In the same way we derive that no two of ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_ℓ have a common factor.

It is easy to check that we cannot derive any other coprimality condition because we could construct a solution to T violating it.

Note that the conditions on (ξ_i, τ_i) are exactly the ones given in the definition of $\mathcal{T}_2$. Since in every step the newly introduced variables are uniquely determined, we have established a bijection between $U(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$. $\square$

The following Lemma is the second step towards the proof of Proposition 3:

Lemma 5. *There is a bijection between $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$.*

Proof. Given a point $(\xi'_i, \tau'_j) \in \mathcal{T}_2$ violating (3.6), we could replace a common prime factor p of ξ'_3, ξ'_6 and τ'_1 by powers of p as factors of ξ'_1 and possibly ξ'_3 such that (3.6) holds. This way, we obtain a point $(\xi_i, \tau_j) \in \mathcal{T}_1$. This should be done in a way such that Ψ maps (ξ_i, τ_j) and (ξ'_i, τ'_j) to the same point $\mathbf{x} \in U(\mathbb{Q})$, and such that we have an inverse map, taking care of the conditions (3.7).

Let $(\xi_i, \tau_j) \in \mathcal{T}_1$ and $(\xi'_i, \tau'_j) \in \mathcal{T}_2$. Decompose the coordinates into their prime factors: Let

$$\xi_i = \prod_p p^{n_{ip}}, \quad \tau_j = \prod_p p^{m_{jp}} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi'_i = \prod_p p^{n'_{ip}}, \quad \tau'_j = \prod_p p^{m'_{jp}},$$

where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ell, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \ell\}$. Note that (3.6) translates to (n_{ip}, m_{jp}) fulfilling $n_{3p} = n_{6p} = 0$ or $m_{1p} = 0$, and that (3.7) means that (n'_{ip}, m'_{jp}) must fulfill $n'_{1p} + n'_{3p} \leq 1$. Furthermore, $n_{3p}, n'_{3p} \in \{0, 1\}$ always holds.

Define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi' : \quad \mathcal{T}_2 &\rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1 \\ (\xi'_i, \tau'_j) &\mapsto (\xi_i, \tau_j), \end{aligned}$$

where $n_{ip} := n'_{ip}$ for $i \in \{2, \ell, 4, 5\}$ and $m_{jp} := m'_{jp}$ for $j \in \{2, \ell\}$, and the values of $n_{1p}, n_{3p}, n_{6p}, m_{1p}$ depend on the size of n'_{6p} compared to m'_{1p} , whether m'_{1p} is even or odd, and whether n'_{3p} is 0 or 1:

- If $m'_{1p} = 2k + 1, n'_{6p} \geq k + 1, n'_{3p} = 0$, then

$$(n_{1p}, n_{3p}, n_{6p}, m_{1p}) := (n'_{1p} + 3k + 1, 1, n'_{6p} - k - 1, m'_{1p} - 2k - 1);$$

- if $m'_{1p} = 2k + 1, n'_{6p} \geq k + 1, n'_{3p} = 1$ or $n'_{6p} = k, m'_{1p} > 2k, n'_{3p} = 1$, then

$$(n_{1p}, n_{3p}, n_{6p}, m_{1p}) := (n'_{1p} + 3k + 2, 0, n'_{6p} - k, m'_{1p} - 2k - 1);$$

- otherwise, with $n'_{6p} = k, m'_{1p} > 2k, n'_{3p} = 0$ or $m'_{1p} = 2k, n'_{6p} \geq k$:

$$(n_{1p}, n_{3p}, n_{6p}, m_{1p}) := (n'_{1p} + 3k, n'_{3p}, n'_{6p} - k, m'_{1p} - 2k).$$

Conversely, define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \quad \mathcal{T}_1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{T}_2 \\ (\xi_i, \tau_i) &\mapsto (\xi'_i, \tau'_j), \end{aligned}$$

where $n'_{ip} := n_{ip}$ for $i \in \{2, \ell, 4, 5\}$ and $m'_{jp} := m_{jp}$ for $j \in \{2, \ell\}$, and the values of $n'_{1p}, n'_{3p}, n'_{6p}, m'_{1p}$ depend on n_{1p} modulo 3 and whether n_{3p} is 0 or 1:

- If $n_{1p} \in \{3k + 1, 3k + 2\}$ and $n_{3p} = 1$, then

$$(n'_{1p}, n'_{3p}, n'_{6p}, m'_{1p}) := (n_{1p} - 3k - 1, 0, n_{6p} + k + 1, m_{1p} + 2k + 1);$$

- if $n_{1p} = 3k + 2$ and $n_{3p} = 0$, then

$$(n'_{1p}, n'_{3p}, n'_{6p}, m'_{1p}) := (n_{1p} - 3k - 2, 1, n_{6p} + k, m_{1p} + 2k + 1);$$

- otherwise, with $n_{1p} \in \{3k, 3k + 1\}$:

$$(n'_{1p}, n'_{3p}, n'_{6p}, m'_{1p}) := (n_{1p} - 3k, n_{3p}, n_{6p} + k, m_{1p} + 2k).$$

It is not difficult to check that Φ and Φ' are well-defined, that $(\xi_i, \tau_j) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $(\xi'_i, \tau'_j) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Z})$ correspond to the same point $\mathbf{x} \in U(\mathbb{Q})$ under the map Ψ , and that Φ and Φ' are inverse to each other. $\square$

Together, Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 prove Proposition 3.

4 Congruences

We will use the following results from Chapter 3 of [dlBB04] on the number of solutions of linear and quadratic congruences.

Let $\eta(a; q)$ be the number of positive integers $n \leq q$ such that $n^2 \equiv a \pmod{q}$. Then by equation (3.1) of [dlBB04], we have for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$

$$\eta(a; q) \leq 2^{\omega(q)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\omega(q)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of q . Let ϑ be an arithmetic function such that

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} |(\vartheta * \mu)(d)| < \infty,$$

where $\vartheta * \mu$ is the usual Dirichlet convolution.

Lemma 6. *Let $a, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q > 0$ and $\gcd(a, q) = 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq t \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \vartheta(n) = \frac{t}{q} \sum_{\substack{d=1 \\ \gcd(d, q)=1}}^{\infty} (\vartheta * \mu)(d) + O\left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} |(\vartheta * \mu)(d)|\right).$$

Proof. This is the case $\kappa = 0$ of Lemma 2 of [dlBB04]. $\square$

Let $\psi(t) = \{t\} - 1/2$ where $\{t\}$ is the fractional part of $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \psi(t) + 1$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \psi(t)$ otherwise.

Lemma 7. *Let $a, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $q > 0$ and $\gcd(a, q) = 1$. Let $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $b_1 \leq b_2$. Then*

$$\#\{n \mid b_1 \leq n \leq b_2, n \equiv a \pmod{q}\} = \frac{b_2 - b_1}{q} + r(b_1, b_2; a, q),$$

where

$$r(b_1, b_2; a, q) = \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{b_1 - a}{q}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{b_2 - a}{q}\right).$$

Proof. This is a slight generalization of Lemma 3 of [dlBB04]. $\square$

Lemma 8. *Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $t \geq 0$. Let $a, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q > 0$ and $\gcd(a, q) = 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq \varrho < q \\ \gcd(\varrho, q) = 1}} \psi\left(\frac{t - a\varrho^2}{q}\right) \ll_{\epsilon} q^{1/2+\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \varrho < q \\ \gcd(\varrho, q) = 1}} \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{t - a\varrho^2}{q}\right) \ll_{\epsilon} q^{1/2+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. For ψ , this is Lemma 5 of [dlBB04].

Note that if $t \equiv a\varrho_i^2 \pmod{q}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, then $\varrho_1 \equiv \pm\varrho_2 \pmod{q}$, which implies that there are at most two different values for ϱ with $0 \leq \varrho < q$ such that $(t - a\varrho^2)/q$ is integral. Therefore, the sum for $\tilde{\psi}$ differs from the one for ψ by at most 2. $\square$

5 Summations

Note that τ_ℓ is determined uniquely by T and the other variables once a certain congruence is fulfilled. Therefore, our strategy is first to compute the number of possible τ_2 depending on τ_1, ξ_i such that there exists a unique τ_ℓ satisfying T . By summing over τ_1 , the number of possible τ_i is then computed depending on ξ_i . The summation over the variables ξ_i is finally handled using the height zeta function.

Let

$$X_1 = (B\xi^{(-2,0,-1,3,2,1,0)})^{1/3}, \quad X_2 = (B\xi^{(0,-1,0,3,2,1,0)})^{1/2},$$

and

$$X_0 = (B^{-1}\xi^{(2,3,4,3,4,5,6)})^{1/6}.$$

Then the height conditions $|x_i| \leq B$ lift to

$$\left|\left(\frac{\tau_2}{X_2}\right) X_0^3\right| \leq 1, \quad \left|\left(\frac{\tau_2}{X_2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tau_1}{X_1}\right)^3\right| \leq 1, \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$|X_0| \leq 1, \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$\left| \left(\frac{\tau_1}{X_1} \right) X_0^4 \right| \leq 1, \quad (5.3)$$

respectively.

Using Proposition 3, we can now relate the counting of rational points of bounded height on $U \subset S$ to a count on the torsor.

Lemma 9. *We have*

$$N_{U,H}(B) = \# \left\{ (\xi_i, \tau_i) \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Z}) \left| \begin{array}{l} (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (5.1), (5.2), (5.3) \text{ hold,} \\ \xi_i > 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

5.1 Summation over τ_2 and τ_ℓ

Let ξ_i, τ_1 satisfy the coprimality conditions (3.3), (3.4) and the height conditions (5.2) and (5.3). Let $N = N(\xi_i, \tau_1)$ be the number of pairs (τ_2, τ_ℓ) such that (3.5), (5.1) and the torsor equation T are fulfilled. Then a Möbius inversion gives

$$N = \sum_{k_\ell | \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6} \mu(k_\ell) N_{k_\ell},$$

where N_{k_ℓ} has the same definition as N except that $\gcd(\tau_\ell, \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) = 1$ is replaced by the condition $k_\ell | \tau_\ell$, and T is replaced by

$$T_{k_\ell}(\xi_i, \tau_i, k_\ell) = k_\ell \tau_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5 + \tau_2^2 \xi_2 + \tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_3 = 0.$$

Note that τ_2 together with T_{k_ℓ} defines τ_ℓ uniquely once a certain congruence is fulfilled. Therefore,

$$N_{k_\ell} = \#\{\tau_2 \mid \gcd(\tau_2, \xi_1 \xi_3) = 1, (5.1) \text{ holds, } -\tau_2^2 \xi_2 \equiv \tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_3 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5}\}.$$

Note that

$$\gcd(\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_3, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = \gcd(\tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_3, \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6, \tau_2^2 \xi_2) = \gcd(\tau_1, \xi_6, \tau_2^2 \xi_2) = 1$$

and

$$\gcd(\xi_2, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = \gcd(\xi_2, k_\ell) = \gcd(\xi_2, \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6, \tau_1^3 \xi_1^2 \xi_3) = 1.$$

Therefore, it is enough to sum over all $k_\ell | \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6$ with $\gcd(k_\ell, \tau_1 \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3) = 1$, and since $k_\ell | \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6$ implies $\gcd(k_\ell, \tau_1) = 1$, we reduce to $\gcd(k_\ell, \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3) = 1$.

This implies that there is a unique integer ϱ satisfying $0 < \varrho \leq k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5$ and $\gcd(\varrho, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1$ such that

$$\tau_2 \equiv \varrho \tau_1 \xi_1 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} \text{ and } -\varrho^2 \xi_2 \equiv \tau_1 \xi_3 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5}.$$

We have

$$N = \sum_{\substack{k_\ell | \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(k_\ell, \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3) = 1}} \mu(k_\ell) \sum_{\substack{0 < \varrho \leq k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5 \\ -\varrho^2 \xi_2 \equiv \tau_1 \xi_3 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} \\ \gcd(\varrho, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1}} N_{k_\ell}(\varrho)$$

where

$$N_{k_\ell}(\varrho) = \#\{\tau_2 \mid \gcd(\tau_2, \xi_1 \xi_3) = 1, (5.1) \text{ holds, } \tau_2 \equiv \varrho \tau_1 \xi_1 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5}\}.$$

We also know that $\gcd(\varrho\tau_1\xi_1, k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5) = 1$. Now we can apply Lemma 6 to the characteristic function

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \gcd(n, \xi_1\xi_3) = 1 \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\substack{d=1 \\ \gcd(d, k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{(\chi * \mu)(d)}{d} = \prod_{\substack{p|\xi_1\xi_3 \\ p \nmid k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \prod_{p|\xi_1\xi_3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \phi^*(\xi_1\xi_3),$$

where we use $\gcd(\xi_1\xi_3, k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5) = 1$ and the notation $\phi^*(n) := \phi(n)/n$ as in (5.10) of [dIBB04], we conclude

$$N_{k_\ell}(\varrho) = \frac{\phi^*(\xi_1\xi_3)X_2}{k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5} g_1(\tau_1/X_1, X_0) + O(2^{\omega(\xi_1\xi_3)}),$$

where $X_2g_1(\tau_1/X_1, X_0)$ gives the total length of the intervals in which τ_2 must lie by (5.1), with

$$g_1(u, v) = \int_{\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid |tv^3| \leq 1, |t^2 + u^3| \leq 1\}} 1 \, dt. \quad (5.4)$$

By equation (4.1), the number of integers ϱ with $0 < \varrho \leq k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5$ such that $\gcd(\varrho, k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5) = 1$ and $-\varrho^2\xi_2 \equiv \tau_1\xi_3 \pmod{k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5}$ is at most

$$\eta(\xi_2\xi_3\tau_1; k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5) \leq 2^{\omega(k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5)} \leq 2^{\omega(\xi_\ell\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6)}.$$

This gives as the first step towards the proof of Theorem 1:

Lemma 10.

$$N = \frac{X_2}{\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5} g_1(\tau_1/X_1, X_0) \Sigma(\xi_i, \tau_1) + O(2^{\omega(\xi_1\xi_3) + \omega(\xi_\ell\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6) + \omega(\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6)}),$$

where

$$\Sigma(\xi_i, \tau_1) = \phi^*(\xi_1\xi_3) \sum_{\substack{k_\ell|\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6 \\ \gcd(k_\ell, \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3)=1}} \frac{\mu(k_\ell)}{k_\ell} \sum_{\substack{0 < \varrho \leq k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5 \\ -\varrho^2\xi_2 \equiv \tau_1\xi_3 \pmod{k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5} \\ \gcd(\varrho, k_\ell\xi_\ell^3\xi_4^2\xi_5)=1}} 1$$

Now we show that the error term suffices for Theorem 1 by summing it over all the ξ_i, τ_1 which satisfy the height conditions (5.2) and (5.3); we can ignore the coprimality conditions (3.3), (3.4), (3.5). We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\xi_i} \sum_{\tau_1} 2^{\omega(\xi_1\xi_3) + \omega(\xi_\ell\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6) + \omega(\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6)} \\ & \ll \sum_{\xi_i} 2^{\omega(\xi_1\xi_3) + \omega(\xi_\ell\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6) + \omega(\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6)} \frac{X_1}{X_0^4} \\ & = \sum_{\xi_i} 2^{\omega(\xi_1\xi_3) + \omega(\xi_\ell\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6) + \omega(\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6)} \frac{B}{\xi^{(2,2,3,1,2,3,4)}} \\ & \ll B(\log B)^2 \sum_{\xi_i, i \neq \ell} \frac{2^{\omega(\xi_1\xi_3) + \omega(\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6) + \omega(\xi_4\xi_5\xi_6)}}{\xi^{(2,2,3,0,2,3,4)}} \\ & \ll B(\log B)^2 \end{aligned}$$

For ξ_ℓ , we have used the estimate

$$\sum_{n \leq x} 2^{\omega(n)} \ll x(\log x)$$

together with partial summation.

Therefore, we only need to consider the main term when summing over τ_1, ξ_i in order to prove Theorem 1.

5.2 Summation over τ_1

For fixed $\xi_2, \dots, \xi_6$ satisfying (3.3) and (5.2), we sum over all τ_1 satisfying the coprimality condition (3.4) and the height condition (5.3). Let

$$N' = N'(\xi_i) = \frac{X_2}{\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} \sum_{\substack{\tau_1, (5.3) \text{ holds} \\ \gcd(\tau_1, \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_\ell \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) = 1}} g_1(\tau_1/X_1, X_0) \Sigma(\xi_i, \tau_1).$$

First, we find an asymptotic formula for

$$\mathcal{N}(b_1, b_2) = \phi^*(\xi_1 \xi_3) \sum_{\substack{k_\ell | \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(k_\ell, \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3) = 1}} \frac{\mu(k_\ell)}{k_\ell} \sum_{\substack{0 < \varrho \leq k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5 \\ \gcd(\varrho, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1}} N'_{k_\ell}(\varrho, b_1, b_2),$$

where

$$N'_{k_\ell}(\varrho, b_1, b_2) = \# \left\{ \tau_1 \in [b_1, b_2] \mid \begin{array}{l} \gcd(\tau_1, \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_\ell \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) = 1, \\ -\varrho^2 \xi_2 \equiv \tau_1 \xi_3 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have $\gcd(\varrho^2 \xi_2, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1$. Therefore we can replace the condition $\gcd(\tau_1, \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_\ell \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) = 1$ by $\gcd(\tau_1, \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6) = 1$ in the definition of $N'_{k_\ell}(\varrho, b_1, b_2)$ and perform another Möbius inversion to obtain

$$\mathcal{N}(b_1, b_2) = \phi^*(\xi_1 \xi_3) \sum_{\substack{k_\ell | \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(k_\ell, \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3) = 1}} \frac{\mu(k_\ell)}{k_\ell} \sum_{\substack{k_1 | \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(k_1, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1}} \mu(k_1) \sum_{\substack{0 < \varrho \leq k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5 \\ \gcd(\varrho, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1}} N'_{k_\ell, k_1}(\varrho, b_1, b_2),$$

where

$$N'_{k_\ell, k_1}(\varrho, b_1, b_2) = \# \{ \tau_1 \in [b_1/k_1, b_2/k_1] \mid -\varrho^2 \xi_2 \equiv k_1 \tau_1 \xi_3 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} \}.$$

Note that we must only sum over the k_1 with $\gcd(k_1, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1$ because of $\gcd(\varrho^2 \xi_2, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1$.

Let $a = a(\xi_i, k_1, k_\ell)$ be the unique integer such that $0 < a \leq k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5$ and

$$-\xi_2 \equiv k_1 a \xi_3 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5}.$$

Then $-\varrho^2 \xi_2 \equiv k_1 \tau_1 \xi_3 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5}$ if and only if $\tau_1 \equiv a \varrho^2 \pmod{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5}$. Since $\gcd(\xi_2, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1$, we have $\gcd(a, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = 1$. Using Lemma 7, we conclude

$$N'_{k_\ell, k_1}(\varrho, b_1, b_2) = \frac{b_2 - b_1}{k_1 k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} + r(b_1/k_1, b_2/k_1, a \varrho^2, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)$$

where, by definition of r ,

$$r(b_1/k_1, b_2/k_1, a\varrho^2, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5) = \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{b_1/k_1 - a\varrho^2}{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{b_2/k_1 - a\varrho^2}{k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} \right).$$

Let

$$\vartheta = \vartheta(\xi_i) = \begin{cases} \phi^*(\xi_1 \xi_3) \phi^*(\xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_\ell \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) \frac{\phi^*(\xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6)}{\phi^*(\gcd(\xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6, \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3))}, & (3.3) \text{ holds,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then for any $b_1 < b_2$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(b_1, b_2) = \vartheta(\xi) \cdot (b_2 - b_1) + \mathcal{R}(b_1, b_2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(b_1, b_2) = & \phi^*(\xi_1 \xi_3) \sum_{\substack{k_\ell | \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(\tau_\ell, \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6)=1}} \frac{\mu(k_\ell)}{k_\ell} \sum_{\substack{k_1 | \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(k_1, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)=1}} \mu(k_1) \sum_{\substack{0 < \varrho \leq k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5 \\ \gcd(\varrho, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)=1}} \\ & r(b_1/k_1, b_2/k_1, a\varrho^2, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5). \end{aligned}$$

By partial summation, we obtain

$$N'(\xi_i) = \frac{\vartheta(\xi_i) X_1 X_2}{\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} g_2(X_0) + R'(\xi_i)$$

with

$$g_2(v) = \int_{\{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid |uv^4| \leq 1\}} g_1(u, v) du \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$R'(\xi_i) = \frac{-X_2}{\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} \int_{-X_0^{-4}}^{X_0^{-4}} (D_1 g_1)(u, X_0) \mathcal{R}(-X_1/X_0^4, X_1 u) du$$

where $D_1 g_1$ is the derivation of g_1 with respect to the first variable.

Lemma 11. *For any ξ_i as in (3.3), (5.2), we have*

$$N'(\xi_i) = \frac{\vartheta(\xi_i) X_1 X_2}{\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} g_2(X_0) + R'(\xi_i)$$

where the error term $R'(\xi_i)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{\xi_i, (3.3), (5.2) \text{ holds}} R'(\xi_i) = O(B \log B).$$

Proof. By Lemma 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(b_1, b_2) & \ll_\epsilon \phi^*(\xi_1 \xi_3) \sum_{\substack{k_\ell | \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(\tau_\ell, \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6)=1}} \frac{|\mu(k_\ell)|}{k_\ell} \sum_{\substack{k_1 | \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(k_1, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)=1}} |\mu(k_1)| (k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)^{1/2+\epsilon} \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{k_\ell | \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(\tau_\ell, \xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6)=1}} |\mu(k_\ell)| \sum_{\substack{k_1 | \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6 \\ \gcd(k_1, k_\ell \xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)=1}} |\mu(k_1)| (\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)^{1/2+\epsilon} \\ & \leq 2^{\omega(\xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) + \omega(\xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6)} (\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)^{1/2+\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} R'(\xi_i) &\ll_\epsilon \frac{X_2}{\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} \int_{-X_0^{-4}}^{X_0^{-4}} (D_1 g_1)(u, X_0) 2^{\omega(\xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) + \omega(\xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6)} (\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)^{1/2+\epsilon} du \\ &\ll \frac{X_2}{(\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)^{1/2-\epsilon}} 2^{\omega(\xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) + \omega(\xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6)} \end{aligned}$$

Summing this over all $\xi_i \leq B$, we get using (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\xi_i \leq B \\ (3.3), (5.2) \text{ hold}}} R'(\xi_i) &\ll_\epsilon \sum_{\xi_i \leq B} \frac{X_2}{X_0^3 (\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5)^{1/2-\epsilon}} 2^{\omega(\xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) + \omega(\xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6)} \\ &= \sum_{\xi_i \leq B} \frac{B}{\xi^{(1,2,2,3/2-3\epsilon,2-2\epsilon,5/2-\epsilon,3)}} 2^{\omega(\xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) + \omega(\xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6)} \\ &\ll \sum_{\xi_i, i \neq 1} \frac{B \log B}{\xi^{(0,2,2,3/2-3\epsilon,2-2\epsilon,5/2-\epsilon,3)}} 2^{\omega(\xi_4 \xi_5 \xi_6) + \omega(\xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_6)} \\ &\ll B \log B \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5.3 Summation over ξ_i

Define

$$\Delta(n) = B^{-5/6} \sum_{\xi_i, \xi^{(2,3,4,3,4,5,6)} = n} \frac{\vartheta(\xi_i) X_1 X_2}{\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5}.$$

We sum $N'(\xi_i)$ in Lemma 11 over the seven variables ξ_i such that the coprimality conditions (3.3) and the height condition (5.2) hold. Note that the definition of $\vartheta(\xi_i)$ ensures that the main term of $N'(\xi_i)$ is zero if (3.3) is not satisfied. In view of Lemma 9, this implies:

Lemma 12. *We have*

$$N_{U,H}(B) = B^{5/6} \sum_{n \leq B} \Delta(n) g_2((n/B)^{1/6}) + O(B(\log B)^2).$$

6 Proof of Manin's Conjecture for S

Our argument is similar to [dlBB04]. We need to estimate

$$M(t) := \sum_{n \leq t} \Delta(n)$$

for $t > 1$. Therefore, we consider the Dirichlet series $F(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta(n) n^{-s}$.

Observing

$$\frac{X_1 X_2}{\xi_\ell^3 \xi_4^2 \xi_5} = \frac{B^{5/6} (\xi^{(2,3,4,3,4,5,6)})^{1/6}}{\xi^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}},$$

we get

$$F(s+1/6) = \sum_{\xi_i} \frac{\vartheta(\xi_i)}{\xi_1^{2s+1} \xi_2^{3s+1} \xi_3^{4s+1} \xi_\ell^{3s+1} \xi_4^{4s+1} \xi_5^{5s+1} \xi_6^{6s+1}},$$

and writing $F(s+1/6) = \prod_p F_p(s+1/6)$ as a product of local factors, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} F_p(s+1/6) = & 1 + \frac{(1-1/p)^2}{(p^{\lambda_6 s+1}-1)} \left(\frac{p^{\lambda_1 s+1}}{p^{\lambda_1 s+1}-1} + \frac{p^{\lambda_1 s+1} p^{\lambda_6 s+1}}{p^{\lambda_3 s+1} (p^{\lambda_1 s+1}-1)} \right. \\ & + \frac{p^{\lambda_6 s+1}}{(1-1/p) p^{\lambda_2 s+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{\lambda_\ell s+1}-1} + \frac{p^{\lambda_\ell s+1} p^{\lambda_6 s+1}}{p^{\lambda_4 s+1} (p^{\lambda_\ell s+1}-1)} \\ & \left. + \frac{p^{\lambda_\ell s+1} p^{\lambda_6 s+1}}{p^{\lambda_5 s+1} (p^{\lambda_\ell s+1}-1)} \right) + \frac{1-1/p}{p^{\lambda_1 s+1}-1} + \frac{1-1/p}{p^{\lambda_\ell s+1}-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any prime p .

Since $1/p^{\lambda_i s+1} = O_\epsilon(1/p^{1/2+\epsilon})$ for $s \in \mathcal{H} := \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re(s) \geq -1/12 + \epsilon\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ell, 4, 5, 6\}$, we have

$$F_p(s+1/6) = 1 + \sum_i \frac{1}{p^{\lambda_i s+1}} + O_\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{p^{1+\epsilon}}\right)$$

for $s \in \mathcal{H}$, and defining

$$E(s) := \prod_i \zeta(\lambda_i s + 1) = \zeta(2s+1)\zeta(3s+1)^2\zeta(4s+1)^2\zeta(5s+1)\zeta(6s+1),$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{E_p(s)} = 1 - \sum_i \frac{1}{p^{\lambda_i s+1}} + O_\epsilon\left(\frac{1}{p^{1+\epsilon}}\right)$$

for $s \in \mathcal{H}$. Define

$$G(s) := F(s+1/6)/E(s)$$

for $\Re(s) > 0$. Then G has a holomorphic and bounded continuation to $\mathcal{H}$. Note that

$$G(0) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^7 \left(1 + \frac{7}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2}\right),$$

and that for $s \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$E(s) = \frac{1}{\prod_i \lambda_i} s^{-7} + O(s^{-6}).$$

Therefore, the residue of $F(s)t^s/s$ at $s = 1/6$ is

$$\text{Res}(t) = \frac{6G(0)t^{1/6}Q_1(\log t)}{6! \cdot \prod_i \lambda_i}$$

for some monic polynomial Q_1 of degree 6.

Lemma 13. $M(t) = \omega_0 \alpha(\tilde{S}) \cdot 6t^{1/6}Q_1(\log t) + O_\epsilon(t^{1/6-1/24+\epsilon})$.

Proof. Integrating Perron's formula for $M(t)$ over t , we have

$$\int_0^t M(u) du = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{1/6+\epsilon-i\infty}^{1/6+\epsilon+i\infty} F(s) \frac{t^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds$$

for $t > 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

We apply Cauchy's residue theorem to the rectangle with vertices

$$1/12 + \epsilon - iT, 1/12 + \epsilon + iT, 1/6 + \epsilon + iT, 1/6 + \epsilon - iT,$$

for some $T > 1$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small.

By the convexity bound

$$\zeta(1 + \sigma + i\tau) \ll_{\epsilon} |\tau|^{-\sigma/3+\epsilon}$$

for any $\sigma \in [-1/2, 0)$, we have

$$F(1/6 + \sigma + i\tau) \ll E(\sigma + i\tau) \ll_{\epsilon} |\tau|^{-9\sigma+\epsilon} \quad (6.1)$$

for any $\sigma \in [-1/12 + \epsilon, 0)$, using $(\sum_i \lambda_i)/3 = 9$ and that $G(\sigma + i\tau)$ is bounded.

For the ray going down from $1/6 + \epsilon - iT$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{1/6+\epsilon-i\infty}^{1/6+\epsilon-iT} \frac{F(s)t^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \right| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^T \frac{|F(1/6 + \epsilon + i\sigma)| |t^{7/6+\epsilon+i\sigma}|}{|(1/6 + \epsilon + i\sigma)(7/6 + \epsilon + i\sigma)|} d\sigma \\ &\ll t^{7/6+\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^T \frac{1}{|\sigma|^2} d\sigma \\ &\ll t^{7/6+\epsilon} T^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where we use that $F(s)$ is bounded for $\Re(s) \geq 1/6 + \epsilon$. Integrating from $1/6 + \epsilon + iT$ to $1/6 + \epsilon + i\infty$ gives the same result.

For the lower edge, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{1/12+\epsilon-iT}^{1/6+\epsilon-iT} \frac{F(s)t^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \right| &\leq \int_{-1/12+\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \frac{|F(1/6 + \sigma - iT)| |t^{7/6+\sigma-iT}|}{|(1/6 + \sigma - iT)(7/6 + \sigma - iT)|} d\sigma \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} \frac{T^{9/12+\epsilon} t^{7/6+\epsilon}}{T^2}, \end{aligned}$$

because (6.1) gives a bound for $-1/12 + \epsilon \leq \sigma \leq -\epsilon$, $F(s)$ being continuous gives a bound in an ϵ -neighborhood of $1/6 - iT$, and the length of the integration interval is $1/12$. For the upper edge, we obtain the same bound.

For the edge on the left, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{1/12+\epsilon-iT}^{1/12+\epsilon+iT} \frac{F(s)t^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds \right| &\leq \int_{-T}^T \frac{|F(1/12 + \epsilon + i\sigma)| |t^{13/12+\epsilon+i\sigma}|}{|(1/12 + \epsilon + i\sigma)(13/12 + \epsilon + i\sigma)|} d\sigma \\ &\ll_{\epsilon} \int_{-T}^T \frac{|\sigma|^{9/12+\epsilon} t^{13/12+\epsilon}}{(1 + |\sigma|)^2} d\sigma \\ &\ll t^{13/12+\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

since the integral over σ is bounded independently of T , and using (6.1) again.

Taking $T = t$, we have proved

$$\int_0^t M(u) du = \int_0^t \text{Res}(u) du + O_{\epsilon}(t^{13/12+\epsilon}).$$

But now

$$\frac{1}{H} \int_{t-H}^t M(u) du \leq M(t) \leq \frac{1}{H} \int_t^{t+H} M(u) du,$$

and for $H \leq t/3$, both integrals are equal to

$$\text{Res}(t) + O_\epsilon(Ht^{5/6}(\log t)^6 + H^{-1}t^{13/12+\epsilon}).$$

The proof of the Lemma is completed by choosing $H = t^{23/24}$ and noting that $\omega_0 = G(0)$ and $\alpha(\tilde{S}) = (6! \prod_i \lambda_i)^{-1}$ by the definitions of ω_0 and $\alpha(\tilde{S})$ in Lemma 2. $\square$

By partial summation we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \leq B} \Delta(n) g_2((n/B)^{1/6}) \\ &= \omega_0 \alpha(\tilde{S}) \cdot 6 \int_0^B g_2(u^{1/6}/B^{1/6}) \frac{d}{du} (u^{1/6} Q_1(\log u)) du + O_\epsilon(B^{1/6-1/24+\epsilon}) \\ &= B^{1/6} \omega_0 \alpha(\tilde{S}) \cdot 6 \int_0^1 g_2(v) Q_2(\log B + 6 \log v) dv + O_\epsilon(B^{1/6-1/24+\epsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

for some monic polynomial Q_2 of degree 6. Considering definitions (5.4) and (5.5), note that

$$\omega_\infty = 6 \int_{\{v \in \mathbb{R} | 0 \leq v \leq 1\}} g_2(v) dv.$$

Together with Lemma 12, this completes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- [BM90] V. V. Batyrev and Y. I. Manin. Sur le nombre des points rationnels de hauteur borné des variétés algébriques. *Math. Ann.*, 286(1-3):27–43, 1990.
- [Bro04] T. D. Browning. The density of rational points on a certain singular cubic surface, arXiv:math.NT/0404245, 2004.
- [BT98] V. V. Batyrev and Y. Tschinkel. Manin’s conjecture for toric varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 7(1):15–53, 1998.
- [CTS76] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc. Torseurs sous des groupes de type multiplicatif; applications à l’étude des points rationnels de certaines variétés algébriques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 282(18):Aii, A1113–A1116, 1976.
- [CTS87] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc. La descente sur les variétés rationnelles. II. *Duke Math. J.*, 54(2):375–492, 1987.
- [dlB02] R. de la Bretèche. Nombre de points de hauteur bornée sur les surfaces de del Pezzo de degré 5. *Duke Math. J.*, 113(3):421–464, 2002.
- [dlBB04] R. de la Bretèche and T. D. Browning. On Manin’s conjecture for singular del Pezzo surfaces of degree four, I, arXiv:math.NT/0412086, 2004.

- [dlBB05] R. de la Bretèche and T. D. Browning. On Manin’s conjecture for singular del Pezzo surfaces of degree four, II, arXiv:math.NT/0502510, 2005.
- [dlBF04] R. de la Bretèche and É. Fouvry. L’éclaté du plan projectif en quatre points dont deux conjugués. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 576:63–122, 2004.
- [FMT89] J. Franke, Y. I. Manin, and Y. Tschinkel. Rational points of bounded height on Fano varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 95(2):421–435, 1989.
- [HB97] D. R. Heath-Brown. The density of rational points on cubic surfaces. *Acta Arith.*, 79(1):17–30, 1997.
- [HB98] D. R. Heath-Brown. Counting rational points on cubic surfaces. *Astérisque*, (251):13–30, 1998. Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée (Paris, 1996).
- [HB03] D. R. Heath-Brown. The density of rational points on Cayley’s cubic surface. Technical report, Bonner Mathematische Schriften. 360. Bonn: Univ. Bonn, Mathematisches Institut. , 2003.
- [HT04] B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel. Universal torsors and Cox rings. In *Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002)*, volume 226 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 149–173. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [Pey95] E. Peyre. Hauteurs et mesures de Tamagawa sur les variétés de Fano. *Duke Math. J.*, 79(1):101–218, 1995.
- [Pey98] E. Peyre. Terme principal de la fonction zêta des hauteurs et torseurs universels. *Astérisque*, (251):259–298, 1998. Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée (Paris, 1996).
- [Pey04] E. Peyre. Counting points on varieties using universal torsors. In *Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties (Palo Alto, CA, 2002)*, volume 226 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 61–81. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [Sal98] P. Salberger. Tamagawa measures on universal torsors and points of bounded height on Fano varieties. *Astérisque*, (251):91–258, 1998. Nombre et répartition de points de hauteur bornée (Paris, 1996).